Court of Appeals, State of Michigan

ORDER

Lee Fritzinger v Lisa K Fritzinger

Joel P. Hoekstra Presiding Judge

Docket No.

297596

Michael J. Talbot

LC No.

02-900594-DM

Douglas B. Shapiro Judges

The Court, acting under MCR 7.203(F)(2), orders that the motion for reconsideration is DENIED. Appellant has not established that the April 6, 2010 postjudgment order is a final order under MCR 7.202(6)(a)(i) because she has not shown that the April 21, 2004 judgment of divorce was not the actual final order under MCR 7.202(6)(a)(i). Accordingly, appellant has not shown that the April 6, 2010 order was the *first* order disposing of all claims as required for it to be a final order under MCR 7.202(6)(a)(i). Contrary to appellant's position, a postjudgment order disposing of a new issue arising after the entry of an initial final order under MCR 7.202(6)(a)(i) cannot constitute an additional final order under MCR 7.202(6)(a)(i). Such a conclusion would render MCR 7.202(6)(a)(iii) to be mere surplusage because there would be no need for its special definition of a postjudgment order in a domestic relations action affecting the custody of a minor to be a final order if any postjudgment order regarding a new issue could be considered a final order under MCR 7.202(6)(a)(i). See, e.g., *Snyder v Advantage Health Physicians*, 281 Mich App 493, 501; 760 NW2d 834 (2008) (a court rule will not be interpreted to render any language surplusage).

Shapiro, J., would grant the motion.



A true copy entered and certified by Sandra Schultz Mengel, Chief Clerk, on

JUL 2 1 2010

Date

Chief Clerk